



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/928,388	08/13/2001	Koy B. Cook JR.	00-0754.02	6326

21491 7590 02/26/2004

LANIER FORD SHAVER & PAYNE
P O BOX 2087
HUNTSVILLE, AL 35804

EXAMINER

LEE, PATRICK J

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2878

DATE MAILED: 02/26/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<p align="center">Office Action Summary</p>	Application No. 09/928,388	Applicant(s) COOK, KOY B.	
	Examiner Patrick J. Lee	Art Unit 2878	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 August 2001.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-8 and 11-14 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☒ Claim(s) 9, 10, 15 and 16 is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☒ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 22 September 2003 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☒ objected to by the Examiner.
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|---|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>090601</u> . | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they include the following reference sign(s) not mentioned in the description:

In figure 1, labels 108, 110, 112, & 114 are not explained.

In figure 2, labels 131, 4, & 44 are not disclosed.

The labels of figures 7 & 8 are not mentioned in the specification.

In figure 9A, labels 218, 222, & 224 are not mentioned in the specification.

In figure 9D, label 416 is not explained.

In figure 10B, labels 382 & 384 are not mentioned.

A proposed drawing correction, corrected drawings, or amendment to the specification to add the reference sign(s) in the description, are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

2. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(4) because reference character "502" has been used to designate both the integrated circuit and the interface. A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

3. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:

On line 15 on page 4, a period is required after "damage" and it is unclear as to what the bright source of radiation causes damage to.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

5. Claims 1-2, 5, 7-8, & 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Yamada et al 5,517,017.

Yamada et al disclose a photosensor for detecting the azimuth, altitude, and intensity of an incident light. The device is an integrated circuit comprising an X-axis detecting element (5, 41), a Y-axis detecting element (7, 42), and photoelectric current detection elements (43-45), located on a substrate (2, 20).

With respect to claims 1-2, 5, & 7, Yamada et al disclose the X-axis detecting element (5, 41) as a first sensor to detect a first component of radiation and a Y-axis detecting element (7, 42) as a second sensor to detect a second component of radiation. The outputs of these two elements are used to determine the origin of the radiation source (see column 1, lines 10-12).

With respect to claim 8, Yamada et al illustrate in figure 12 the first and second detectors to be positioned nonparallel with respect to each other.

With respect to claim 11, Yamada et al disclose photoelectric current detection elements (43-45) as a reference detector.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

7. Claims 3-4, 6, & 12-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yamada et al 5,517,017.

Yamada et al discloses the system as described in the discussion of claims 1-2, 5, 7-8, & 11.

With respect to claims 3-4, 6, & 12-13, Yamada et al do not explicitly disclose the use of a circuit for calculating the azimuth and elevation, but does disclose the algorithm for such calculations in column 8, lines 1-33. To modify the teachings accordingly is known and would have been obvious to include in order to properly utilize the output currents from the detectors.

With respect to claim 14, Yamada et al illustrate in figure 12 the first and second detectors to be positioned nonparallel with respect to each other.

Allowable Subject Matter

8. Claims 9-10 & 15-16 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

9. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

With respect to claims 9-10 & 15-16, while the use of bipolar junction phototransistors are known, Yamada et al do not disclose nor suggest the use of two bipolar junction phototransistors with the outputs indicating the angles of incidence in a number of directions.

Conclusion

10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Grotts et al 4,593,187 disclose a non-imaging angle incidence detection system.

Zaffanella et al 5,572,316 disclose a sun sensor.

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Patrick J. Lee whose telephone number is (571) 272-2440. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 8:00 am to 5:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David P. Porta can be reached on (571) 272-2444. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

PJL
February 3rd, 2004

Patrick J. Lee
Examiner
Art Unit 2878



PORTA
SUPERVISOR PAT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800